

**Judith Chubb-Whittle,**

**Chair of Stanton Drew Parish Council**

**SUBMISSION STATEMENT TO CABINET 11<sup>TH</sup> JUNE 2012**

**UNDER ITEM 7**

Firstly thank you to Councillors who attended the consultation roadshows to answer my parishioners' questions.

However, there are many answers still awaited.

You & we agree that the matrix is deeply flawed. Even a secondary unspecified screening process delivered a flawed result. Yet with demonstrable negligence some sites were still put forward on the preferred list.

According to PPT 2012, you are required

1. *To reduce tensions...*

**This has clearly not happened so far.**

2. *To enable provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access education, health...*

**How can they do at GT 2, an unhealthy, unsafe, remote site?**

3. *Identify and update annually, a supply of deliverable sites...*

At Cabinet meeting 9 May 2012 it was suggested that you reassess the need for sites and investigate suitable land i.e. **outside the GREEN BELT** far south of the district.

**Have you done this?**

**Has the Cabinet understood the consequences of not taking into account the Scrutiny Panel's recommendation?**

4. *Protect local amenity and environment,*

**Please explain how development of light industrial employment will safeguard the SNCI & protected wildlife species from light & noise pollution and further hazardous contamination?**

5. No **very special circumstances** will be demonstrable especially where MOD sites are available. [*You would lose some 106 levy of course if you replaced some social housing with a couple of pitches*].

**Traveller sites in the Green Belt are inappropriate development.** GT2 is **DESIGNATED** Green Belt, the description '**brownfield**' for the buildings. They are still **Washed over GB**.

6. *Strictly limit new traveller sites...away from existing settlements...do not dominate the nearest settled community ...avoid placing undue pressure on the local infrastructure e.g. water supply, poor highways connections, health etc.*

**You agree Stanton Wick is the nearest settlement. At the Pensford you said you liked the site because of size, an easy creation of an out-of- Bath ghetto.**

**Explain how adoption of GT2 will not contravene this policy?**

7. *Promoting opportunities for healthy lifestyles...what, on an arsenic contaminated site??*

**Do you realise that remediation costs will be HUGE?**

Figures of 600 lorry loads out of the site with contaminated soils, 600 lorry loads into the site with new soils, and a cost of **£1.8 million** – just for the dumping of the contaminated soils.

**How much will highways remediation cost?**

**Therefore the site is unsustainable at your projected numbers.**

Firstly the **assault on the Green Belt with Stowey Quarry** and now the **Stanton Wick Green Belt**.

**BaNES – Making Bath [but not necessarily North east Somerset] an even better place to live, work and visit.**